Information Access Pattern by Faculty Members and Students in Electronic Environment: A Study on *Manonmaniam Sundaranar University* Constituent College, Nagalapuram

Mrs.D.Radha Rukmani* Dr. L.N. Umadevi**

Abstract

This present study aims to analysis information access pattern by faculty members and students in electronic environment: A study at Manonmaniam Sundaranar University constituent college, Nagalapuram. Totally 738 respondents were participated for this study, amongst male respondents are 386, female respondents are 352, moreover Assistant Professor are participated 48, Postgraduate Students are participated 33, and Undergraduate Students are participated 657, The present study reveals that, status wise respondents frequency of library visit, amongst 37.5 percent of respondents daily library visit, 29.9 percent of respondents visit library Once a week, remaining respondents are visit Occasionally, fortnightly and Monthly once. 54.9 per cent of them access the electronic resources both at the Institutions and home only and 31.3 per cent of them have electronic resources access in Institution, home, and cyber café. 41.2 per cent of respondents has 1-2 years internet using experience, 2-3 years Internet using experience has 39.0 per cent of the respondents. that 58.1 per cent of the respondents have learned to use Internet through Self-study, and 14.5 per cent has know to use trough training session, 13.6 per cent has know to use Internet through guidance from friends. 51 respondents are access E-books, followed by 80 respondents E-journals, 175 respondents are preferences E-newspapers, 126 respondents are preferences E-magazines, 138 respondents are preferences E-thesis and dissertations. , majority of the respondents use basic search methods, 29.1 percent respondents used to advanced search method for access, keyword search methods used 17.2 percent respondents. 35.2 percent of respondents agree on importance of e-resources for academic activities.

Keywords: Library services, Internet, E-books, e-resources

^{*} Ph.D.,Research Scholar,Department of Library and Information Science, Annamalai University, Annamalainagar- 608002, Tamilnadu, India

^{**} Assistant Professor, Department of Library and Information Science, Annamalai University, Annamalainagar- 608002, Tamilnadu, India

Introduction

Technological advances are recent years have transformed library and Information Science into more specialized discipline namely Information science. Now, the field of library and information science is continuously embracing a lot of technological advancements of Information communication Technology (ICT) as well as new ideas and initiatives for the enrichment of empowerment of the profession. E- Resources represent an in caressingly important component of the collection-building activities of libraries. "Electronic resources" refer to those materials that require computer access, whether through a personal computer, mainframe or handheld mobile device. They may either be accessed remotely via the Internet or locally. Some of the most frequently encountered types are: E-Journals, E-Books, Full-text databases, Indexing and Abstracting databases, Reference databases (Bibliographies, Dictionaries, Directories, and Encyclopedias etc.) Numeric and statistical databases, E-images, e-audio/visual resources. In the fast moving world, everyone wants to get the required items in time.

Information resources that can be accessed, retrieved, stored and used through electronic means can be seen as e-resources. Information in electronic format can be accessed via the internet, storage devices such as CD-ROMs, pen drives, and other peripheral devices through the use of computer systems. These resources include information on CD-ROMs, online databases, electronic journals (e-journals), electronic books, (e-books), internet resources, etc. According to Haridasan and Khan (2009), electronic information resources are resources in which information is stored electronically and accessed through electronic systems and networks. In addition, Deng (2010) listed examples of e-resources as; edatabases, electronic books (e-books), electronic journals (e-journals), electronic magazines (emagazine), electronic newspapers and archives, the rest include e-theses, conference papers, government papers, monographs and research reports in electronic form. E-resources can be used to supplement printed information in university libraries in order to give information seekers the choice to have access to more convenient and reliable information sources to meet their information needs.

Population and Sample

The population for the study is from the fulltime faculty member, Undergraduate and Postgraduate students. The samples are *Manonmaniam Sundaranar University* constituent college, Nagalapuram, totally the 738 respondents were taken for the present study, 89.0 percent respondents are Undergraduate Students, 4.5 percent of respondents are

Postgraduate Students, and moreover 6.5 percent of respondents are faculty members (Assistant Professor).

Data analysis

The data collected were from well structured questionnaire and to analyzed using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) 20th version. Descriptive statistics including simple percentage and frequency count were performed. The qualitative data collected were analyzed and variables are focused in each of the research question.

Objectives

The following objectives are framed, in according the questionnaire:

- To find frequency of use electronic resource by the respondents
- To find out respondents access the electronic resource location
- To know length of using Internet by respondents
- To find purpose of using electronic resources
- To find out preferences to access electronic resources

Limitation of the present Study

This study is made *Manonmaniam Sundaranar University* constituent college, Nagalapuram full time faculty member, Undergraduate (U G) and Postgraduate (P G) students. The samples are only; the data were collected from Academic year 2018 to 2019.

Analysis and Interpretation

Table 1	Status	Vs (Gender	wise	respondents
---------	--------	------	--------	------	-------------

Sl.	Status	Status Sex		Total
No.		Male	Female	
1	A saistant Drafassan	14	34	48
1	Assistant Professor	1.9	4.6	6.5
2	De stans las ta sta lanta	5	28	33
2	Postgraduate students	0.7	3.8	4.5
2	TT. J	367	290	657
3	Undergraduate students	49.7	39.3	89.0
	Tetal	386	352	738
	Total	52.3	47.7	100.0

Table $\overline{1}$ show that status Vs gender wise respondents participated in this study, totally 738 respondents were participated for this study, amongst 48 respondents are Assistant Professor, 33 are Postgraduate students, and 657 respondents are Undergraduate students. Moreover totally 386 respondents are male and 352 respondents are female respondents participated in this study.

Sl.No.	Status		Frequency						
		Daily	Once a week	Fortnightly	Monthly	Occasionally			
1	Assistant	14	18	6	6	4	48		
1	Professor	1.9	2.4	0.8	0.8	0.5	6.5		
2	Postgraduate	15	9	-	-	9	33		
Z	students	2.0	1.2			1.2	4.5		
2	Undergraduate	248	194	90	22	103	657		
3	students	33.6	26.3	12.2	3.0	14.0	89.0		
	Total	277	221	96	28	116	738		
	Total	37.5	29.9	13.0	3.8	15.7	100.0		

Table 2 Status wise respondents' frequency of library visit

Table 2 shows that status wise respondents frequency of library visit, amongst 37.5 percent of respondents daily library visit, 29.9 percent of respondents visit library Once a week, 15.7 percent of respondents are visit the library Occasionally, 13.0 percent of respondents are visit the library fortnightly, and only 3.8 percent of respondents are visit the library Monthly once.

S1.	Status			Total			
No.		Institution	Institution	Institution	Cyber cafe	Institution	
		Home and	and Cyber	and Home	and Home		
		Cyber café	cafe				
1	Assistant	7	1	37	3	0	48
1	Professor	0.9	0.1	5.0	0.4	0.0	6.5
2	Postgraduate	2	0	25	5	1	33
Ζ	students	0.3	0.0	3.4	0.7	0.1	4.5
3	Undergraduate	222	17	343	66	9	657
3	students	30.1	2.3	46.5	8.9	1.2	89.0
	Total	231	18	405	74	10	738
	Total	31.3	2.4	54.9	10.0	1.4	100.0

Table 3 Status wise respondents' frequency of internet access location

Table 3 indicates the status wise respondents' electronic resources access locations.

It could be noted that out of the total 738 respondents 54.9 per cent of them access the electronic resources both at the Institutions and home only and 31.3 per cent of them have electronic resources access in Institution, home, and cyber cafe. Of the total 738 respondents, 2.4 per cent of them have to access to the electronic resources both at the Institution and Cyber café, 10.0 per cent of them are access the electronic resources at Cyber cafe and Home, and 1.4 per cent of respondents are access Institution only.

Sl. No.	Status		long				
		Less than a	1-2 years	2-3 years	3 and above		
		year			years		
1	Assistant Duefessen	1	7	25	15	48	
1	Assistant Professor	0.1	0.9	3.4	2.0	6.5	
2	Postgraduate students	3	16	14	0	33	
2		0.4	2.2	1.9	0.0	4.5	
2	Undergraduate	123	281	249	4	657	
3	students	16.7	38.1	33.7	0.5	89.0	
	Tatal	127	304	288	19	738	
	Total	17.2	41.2	39.0	2.6	100.0	

Table 4 status wise respondents' length of using Internet

A study of data in table 4 indicates the status wise respondents' length of using Internet. The status wise analysis reveals the following facts. Among the status wise respondents, 41.2 per cent of respondents has 1-2 years internet using experience, 2-3 years Internet using experience has 39.0 per cent of the respondents. 17.2 per cent of the respondents have less than one-year experience in using the Internet. Further, the remaining status of respondents has 2.6 percentage of experience.

Table 5 status wise respondents learn to use Internet

	Status		lea	rn to use Ir	nternet thro	ough		Total
No.		Professional	Guidance	Self-study	Training	Print	trial and	
		Colleagues	from		session	documentations	error	
			friends				method	
1	Assistant	6	4	7	10	18	3	48
1	Professor	0.8	0.5	0.9	1.4	2.4	0.4	6.5
2	Postgraduate	-	2	28	3	-	-	33
Z	students		0.3	3.8	0.4			4.5
3	Undergraduate	-	94	393	94	30	45	656
2	students		12.8	53.3	12.8	4.1	6.1	89.0
	Tatal	6	100	428	107	48	48	737
	Total	0.8	13.6	58.1	14.5	6.5	6.5	100.0

Table 5 indicates the status wise respondents' sources of learned to use Internet. It could be noted that 58.1 per cent of the respondents have learned to use Internet through Self-study, and 14.5 per cent has know to use trough training session, 13.6 per cent has know to use Internet through guidance from friends, (6.5) of them know through print

documentations, and trial and error method respectively. Further, only 0.8 per cent of the Assistant Professor has knows through the professional colleagues.

Sl. No.	Electronic	*	Status		Total
	Resources	Assistant	Postgraduate	Undergraduate	
		Professor	students	students	
1	E-books	7	4	40	51
1	E-DOOKS	13.7	7.8	78.4	
2	E iournala	18	6	56	80
2	E-journals	22.5	7.5	70.0	
3	Enouverences	12	11	152	175
3	E-newspapers	6.9	6.3	86.9	
4	E magazinas	1	1	124	126
4	E-magazines	0.8	0.8	98.4	
5	E-thesis and	2	4	132	138
3	dissertations	1.4	2.9	95.7	
6	E-conference	3	0	60	63
6	proceedings	4.8	0.0	95.2	
7	E-standards	0	0	21	21
/	E-standards	0.0	0.0	100.0	
8	Audio video	1	6	50	57
ð	materials	1.8	10.5	87.7	
9	CD ROM	4	1	22	27
9	databases	14.8	3.7	81.5	
	Tetel	48	33	657	738
	Total	6.5	4.5	89.0	100.0

Table 6 status wise respondents' preferences to access electronic resources

Table 6 indicates that status wise respondents' preferences to access electronic resources, amongst only 51 respondents are access E-books, followed by 80 respondents E-journals, 175 respondents are preferences E-newspapers, 126 respondents are preferences E-magazines, 138 respondents are preferences E-thesis and dissertations, 63 respondents are preferences E-conference proceedings, 21 respondents are preferences E-standards, 57 respondents are preferences Audio video materials, and 2 7respondents are preferences to access CD-ROM databases for the academic related activities.

	Table 7 statu	s wise respondents using search methods
Sl. No.	Status	Search Methods

		Basic Search	Advanced Search	Expert Search	Keyword search	Citation Locator/Cited	
			Method	Method		Reference Search Method	Total
1	Assistant	18	17	9	3	1	48
	Professor	2.4	2.3	1.2	0.4	0.1	6.5
2	Postgraduate	24	8	1	0	0	33
	students	3.3	1.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	4.5
3	Undergraduate	229	190	78	124	36	657
	students	31.0	25.7	10.6	16.8	4.9	89.0
	Total	271 36.7	215 29.1	88 11.9	127 17.2	37 5.0	738 100.0

Table 7 shows that status wise respondents using search methods, majority of the respondents use basic search methods, 29.1 percent respondents used to advanced search method for access, keyword search methods used 17.2 percent respondents, 11.9 per cent of respondents used expert search methods, 5.0 percent of respondents are used Citation Locator/Cited Reference Search Methods to access electronic resources.

Sl. No.	Skill		Status		Total
		Assistant	Postgraduate	Undergraduate	
		Professor	students	students	
1	General computer	2	2	49	53
1	operations	0.3	0.3	6.6	7.2
2	Internet englishting	6	14	210	230
2	Internet applications	0.8	1.9	28.5	31.2
2	Database management	4	6	84	94
3	systems	0.5	0.8	11.4	12.7
4	Formulating search	17	11	196	224
4	queries	2.3	1.5	26.6	30.4
5	Electronic library tools	13	0	75	88
5	e.g. CDROM	1.8	0.0	10.2	11.9
	Computer	6	0	43	49
6	system/application	0.8	0.0	5.8	6.6
	software				
	Total	48	33	657	738
	Total	6.5	4.5	89.0	100.0

 Table 8 status wise respondents on computer skills

Table 8 shows that status wise respondents on computer skills, majority of the respondents are skills on Internet applications, followed by 30.4 percent of respondents are

skills on formulating search queries, 12.7 percent of respondents are skills on Database management systems, 11.9 percent of respondents are skills on Electronic library tools e.g. CD-ROM, 7.2 percent of respondents are skills on General computer operations, and remaining 6.6 per cent of respondents are skills on Computer system/application software. **Table 9 respondents' opinion on importance of e-resources for academic activities**

S1.	Status	^	Authority						
No.		Strongly	Agree	Some	Disagree	Strongly			
		Agree		what		Disagree			
				agree					
1	Assistant	17	14	11	4	2	48		
1	Professor	2.3	1.9	1.5	0.5	0.3	6.5		
2	Postgraduate	11	13	9	0	0	33		
2	students	1.5	1.8	1.2	0.0	0.0	4.5		
3	Undergraduate	172	233	178	54	20	657		
3	students	23.3	31.6	24.1	7.3	2.7	89.0		
	Total	200	260	198	58	22	738		
	Total	27.1	35.2	26.8	7.9	3.0	100.0		

Table 9 indicates that status wise respondents' opinion on importance of eresources for academic activities, 35.2 percent of respondents agree on importance of eresources for academic activities, followed by 27.1 percent respondents are Strongly Agree, 26.8 percent of respondents somewhat agree 7.9 percent of respondents are disagree, only 3.0 percent of respondents are strongly disagree on importance of eresources for academic activities.

Conclusion

The electronic information environment has dramatically changed the way that users access information worldwide. Electronic information resources also provide a means for measuring resource usage that was not as readily available in the print environment. Effective exploitation of electronic information resources go hand in hand with computer competency skills. Moreover, basic computer skills are important assets to postgraduate students to exploit desired information in their learning and research processes. Conclude from the present study, Majority of respondents are daily use the library fro they academic activities, more than fifty percent of respondents are access the electronic resources both at the Institutions and home only, remaining respondents are access different access locations, around 43.0 per cent of respondents has 1-2 years internet using experience for their academic purpose. 51 respondents are access E-books, followed by 80 respondents E-journals, 175 respondents are preferences E-newspapers, 126 respondents are preferences E-magazines. It reveals that the respondents various

information search methods, majority of the respondents use basic search methods, 29.1 percent respondents used to advanced search method for access, keyword search methods used 17.2 percent respondents. Respondents' opinion on importance of e-resources for academic activities, 35.2 percent of respondents agree on importance of e-resources for academic activities, followed by 27.1 percent respondents are Strongly Agree. With this, the growing proliferation of variety of electronic information resources (e-resources) and technologies over the last decade has revolutionizing influence including academic activities.

References

Adeyinka, T., Tella, A., Ayeni, C.O. and Omoba, R.O. (2007). Predictors of Academic Performance: Self-Efficacy and use of Electronic Information. University of Dar Es Salaam Library Journal, 9 (1): 69-83.

Deng, H. (2010). Emerging Patterns and trends in utilizing electronic resources in a higher education environment: An empirical analysis. New Library World, 111(3/4), 87-103.

Ekundayo,H.T. and Alonge,H.O. (2012). Human and material resources as correlates of academic performance of private and public secondary school students in Ondo state, NIGERIA. European Scientific Journal, 8 (10): 170-180.

Han, S.; Wong, R., and Webb, T. (2011). "Uncovering MeaningfulCorrelation Between Student Academic Performance and Library Material Usage." College and Research Libraries 72 (4): 361-370.

Haridasan, S. & Khan, M. (2009). Impact of E-Resources by Social Scientists in National Science Documentation Centre (NASSDOC), India. The Electronic Library, 27 (1), 117-133.

Kahn, J., and Pred, R. (2002). "Evaluation of a Faculty Development Model for Technology Use in Higher Education for Late Adopters." Computers in the Schools 18(4): 127-150

Vasishta, S. (2013) "Dissemination of electronic journals: A content analysis of the library websites of technical university libraries in North India", Electronic Library, Vol. 31 Iss: 3, pp.278 – 289